

**Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas** 

## 2014-2015 RATINGS BASED ON SCHOOL YEAR 2013-2014 DATA - DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

Nar	me: NUECES CANYON CISD(069902)	Publication Level 1: 8/7/201	5 11:59:38 AM		
		Publication Level 2: 8/7/201	15 11:59:38 AM		
		Last Updated: 8/7/2015 11:59:38 AM			
District Score: 30 Passing Score: 16					
#	Indicator Description		Updated	Score	
1	Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 de district's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 3:	eadline depending on the school	4/6/2015 2:40:55 PM	Yes	
2	Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the fi whole? (The American Institute of Certified Public Ac unmodified opinion. The external independent audito unmodified opinion.)	countants (AICPA) defines	4/6/2015 2:40:56 PM	Yes	
3	Was the school district in compliance with the payme agreements at fiscal year end? (If the school district year, an exemption applies in following years if the sforbearance or payment plan with the lender and the schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempt are not related to monetary defaults. A technical defterms of a debt covenant, contract, or master promis payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are culegal agreement between a debtor (person, company their creditors, which includes a plan for paying back	was in default in a prior fiscal school district is current on its e payments are made on ted are technical defaults that fault is a failure to uphold the essory note even though irrent. A debt agreement is a y, etc. that owes money) and	4/6/2015 2:40:56 PM	Yes	
4	Was the total unrestricted net asset balance (Net of capital appreciation bonds) in the governmental action of Net Assets greater than zero? (If the school district membership over 5 years was 10 percent or more, the this indicator.)	vities column in the Statement ct's change of students in	4/6/2015 2:40:56 PM	Yes	
				1 Multiplie Sum	
5	Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equation threshold ratio? (See ranges below.)	ual to or less than the	7/31/2015 1:04:39 PM	10	

6	Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?	4/6/2015 2:40:57 PM	10
7	Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance (s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.)	4/6/2015 2:40:57 PM	10
			30 Weighted Sum
			1 Multiplier Sum
			30 Score

## **DETERMINATION OF RATING**

A.	Did The District Answer 'No' To Indicators 1, 2, 3, Or 4? If So, The District's Rating Is <b>Substandard Achievement</b> .  Determine Rating By Applicable Range For Summation of the Indicator Scores (Indicators 5-7)		
В.			
	Pass	16-30	
	Substandard Achievement	<16	

Home Page: Financial Accountability | Send comments or suggestions to Financial Accountability@tea.state.tx.us

THE TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY

1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734